11-23-05

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

Translation INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

			-		
Applicant's or agent's file reference T 04006 PCT 6650	FOR FURTHER ACTIO	N	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No.	International filing date (day	/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/EP2004/000243	15-01-2004		17-01-2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or nati	onal classification and IPC				
B23B 27/14, C04B 35/	117, 35/119, 4	11/50			
Applicant TECHNISCHE UNIVERSIT					
This report is the international preli under Article 35 and transmitted to the	minary examination report, e	established by this I cle 36.	nternational Preliminary Examining Authority		
2. This REPORT consists of a total of			over sheet.		
3. This report is also accompanied by A					
		a total of	sheets, as follows:		
sheets of the description sheets containing range Instructions).	iption, claims and/or drawing ectifications authorized by th	s which have been a is Authority (see Ru	amended and are the basis for this report and/of ale 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative		
the disclosure in t Box.	he international application a	s med, as mulcaled	in non 1 of Bost 100 and 1		
b. (sent to the International	al Bureau only) a total of (indi	cate type and numb	er of electronic carrier(s))		
, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section					
related thereto, in electron	nic form only, as indicated in Instructions).				
802 of the Administrative Instructions). 4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
Box No. I Basis of	he report		ERSION		
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-esta	blishment of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inve	ntive step and industrial applicability		
Box No. IV Lack of the	unity of invention				
Box No. V Reasone citations	d statement under Article 35(2 and explanations supporting s	with regard to not such statement	velty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
١	documents cited				
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application					
Box No. VIII Certain	observations on the internation				
Date of submission of the demand		ate of completion of	this report		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/	A	uthorized officer			
Manue and maning address of the T. T.					
l		•			
Facsimile No.	T	elephone No.			

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/000243

Box No. I	Basis of the report	
1. With	regard to the language, this report is based on:	
	the international application in the language in which it wa	s filed
	the translation of the international application into	, which is the language of a
	translation furnished for the purposes of:	
	international search (Rule 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))	
	publication of the international application (Rule 12.	
	international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2(a)	
rece	h regard to the elements of the international application, the eiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 of report):	is report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to
	the international application as originally filed/furnished	
	the description:	· · n . C1 . J/C chod
	pages 1-19	
ļ	pages*	
1	pages*	received by this Authority on
	the claims:	
	pages 1-28	as originally filed/furnished
	pages*	as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
	pages*	received by this Authority on
	pages*	received by this Authority on
	the drawings:	
	pages 1/7-7/7	as originally filed/furnished
	pages*	
ł	pages*	
1 _	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supp	
-	<u> </u>	
3.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
	the description, pages	
Ì		
}		
1	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
_ ا		and listed below had not been made, sing
4.	they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure	as med, as mercared in the Deppe
Ì		
1		
1	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
•	If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked	"superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/000243

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35 citations and explanations supportin		t under Art	ticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
1.	Statement Statement		F	
	Novelty (N)	Claime	12, 16, 26	_ YES
	101009 (11)	Claims	1-11, 13-15, 17-25, 27, 28	_ NO
		-		YES
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		_
		Claims	1-28	_ _{NO}
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-28	YES
1	muusiriai appitoaomis (m.)			NO
		Claims		

- 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
 - Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: US-A-5 275 981 (KATO MUNENORI ET AL)
 4 January 1994 (1994-01-04)
 - D2: US-A-4 447 263 (TOYAMA JUNICHI ET AL) 8 May 1984 (1984-05-08)
 - D3: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN, vol. 017, no. 096
 (M-1372), 25 February 1993 (1993-02-25)
 & JP 04 289002 A (MITSUBISHI MATERIALS CORP),
 14 October 1992 (1992-10-14)
 - D4: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN, vol. 017, no. 648

 (M-1518), 2 December 1993 (1993-12-02)

 & JP 05 208304 A (MITSUBISHI MATERIALS CORP),

 20 August 1993 (1993-08-20).
 - The subject matter of claims 1 and 4 fails to satisfy the requirements of PCT Article 6. The reasons are as follows:
 - 2.1 The following terms lack clarity or are inadequately defined: "sacrificial phase" and "hard material phases" in claim 1 and "characteristic elements" in claim 4. Said terms have not been regarded as restrictive.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/000243

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Claim 1 lacks clarity because it is unclear

- 2.2 Claim 1 lacks clarity because it is unclear whether "optionally" refers only to the additives or also to the primary hard material phases.
- 2.3 Product claim 1 is, in part, defined in terms of method features: "not deposited" and "is formed by hardening the base ceramic in a defined atmosphere". These features have not been regarded as restrictive.
- 2.4 The expression "the edge zone being closely intergrown with the starter ceramic" (claim 1) does not constitute a precise definition. For the purpose of the examination, this expression has been interpreted in its broadest possible sense.

The expression "transition zone of between 50 nm and 5 μm " is not clearly defined (claim 9). This feature has not been regarded as restrictive.

- 2.5 Expressions such as "preferably", "optionally" and "specially", likewise, do not restrict the scope of protection of the claim, that is to say any feature preceded by such an expression must be considered entirely optional.
- 3. The subject matter of claims 1-11, 13-15, 17-25, 27 and 28 do not appear to be novel (PCT Article 33(2)). The reasons are as follows:

/...

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/000243

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

3.1 Document D1 (column 6, line 51 to column 7, line 56; claims 1, 2 and 5; and examples 4, 8 and 9) discloses a ceramic cutting tool, being a multiphase ceramic cutting tool made of a base ceramic material (Al₂O₃) and a "sacrificial phase" (metal carbo-nitride), which cutting tool can be provided with an edge layer made of a hard material phase (see for example claim 5). The grain size is less than 1 μm (column 2, lines 20-24). Titanium oxycarbide (claim 11) is disclosed in example 7.

In consequence, the subject matter of claims 1-11, and 28 does not appear to be novel over D1,

3.2 Document D2 (examples and tables) discloses a ceramic cutting tool, being a multiphase ceramic cutting tool, said tool being made of a base ceramic (TiN), a "sacrificial phase" (TiC) and an edge layer, which layer was produced by hardening the starting ceramic in a defined atmosphere and, in consequence, must have been closely intergrown with the starter ceramic.

In consequence, the subject matter of claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 14, 17-25, 27 and 28 does not appear to be novel over D2.

3.3 Document D3 (abstract, tables) appears to disclose a ceramic tool, being a multiphase ceramic tool

/ . . .

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

made of a base ceramic (Al_2O_3) material, a "sacrificial phase" (TiCN) and an edge zone, the edge zone containing a higher proportion of TiCN than the base ceramic material. The higher proportion of TiCN appears to have been obtained by hardening in $CH_4:N_2$. In addition, a single-layer or multi-layer coating can be deposited on the edge zone by means of chemical deposition.

In consequence, the subject matter of claims 1-3, 7-9, 13, 14, 19-24, 27 and 28 does not appear to be novel over D3.

Document D4 (abstract, tables, paragraph 0007 and figure 1) appears to disclose a ceramic cutting tool, being of a multiphase ceramic tool which is made of a base ceramic (Al₂O₃) material, a "sacrificial phase" (TiCN) and an edge zone, the edge zone containing a higher proportion of N. Said higher proportion of N appears to have been obtained by hardening in a defined atmosphere (HIP, argon).

In consequence, the subject matter of claims 1-3, 7-9, 14, 17-24, 27 and 28 does not appear to be novel over D4.

4. Dependent claims 12, 16 and 26 contain no features which, combined with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT requirements for inventive step. The reasons are as follows:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/000243

Box No. V	Reasoned statement und citations and explanation	ler Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; ns supporting such statement
	Claim 12:	The use of TiC as a hard layer for ceramic cutting tools is known
	Claim 16:	The production of ceramics by means of reaction sintering is known and the production of edge layers on cutting tools by hardening in a defined atmosphere is also known (see documents D2 to D4). It appears obvious for a person skilled in the art to combine the two.
	Claim 26:	The use of a sintering bed appears to be a routine measure for a person skilled in the art to describe.